

# Who Claims Responsibility for the use of Artificial Water Fluoridation Chemicals?

## **NOT** the Chemical Manufacturer

"The NSF International **does not evaluate safety of the chemicals** added to water for the purpose of the treatment or mitigation of disease in humans, and **does not evaluate the product added to water** but only the impurities within the product."

## **NOT** Health Canada

"Although these products and materials are **not currently regulated at the national level**, Health Canada recognizes the importance that they be "effective and safe" <http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/ewh-semt/water-eau/drink-potab/mater/index-eng.php>

## **NOT** the Ontario Ministry of the Environment

"MOE has **no jurisdiction over the manufacture or sale of drinking water treatment chemicals**." Mirek Tybinkowski, M.Eng., P.Eng, Water & Wastewater Specialist, Safe Drinking Water Branch, letter dated June 11, 2007

## **NOT** Dental Trade Organizations

"Dissemination of information relating to the practice of dentistry **does not create a duty of care to protect the public from potential injury**." ADA court testimony: Superior Court of the State of California Case No. 718228, Demurrer (October 22, 1992 )

"... **We deny that we have any liability** (in relation to water fluoridation) as alleged and note that the fluoridation of public water drinking supplies is a **matter for the local council**." Australian Dental Association's CEO, Robert Boyd-Boland

## **NOT** the Public Health Service

"We conclude that the cited regulations **do not individually or collectively impose an implied mandatory duty on the County** to direct Pinch to **notify consumers when water contamination occurs**." *Guzman vs. Monterey County* <http://www.courtinfo.ca.gov/opinions/documents/S157793.PDF>